From: digl@gwl.com@inetgw

To: Microsoft ATR **Date:** 1/24/02 12:02pm

Subject: Microsoft Settlement is bad for the country and the software industry.

Dear Renata Hesse, Trial Attorney,

The current settlement with Microsoft is unacceptable. The current proposed settlement would strengthen Microsoft's monopoly and that is in spite of the fact that Microsoft has been found GUILTY of maintaining its operating system monopoly in violation of section 2 of the Sherman Act. I would suggest at least the follow penalties for Microsoft as proposed by Dennis E. Powell in the article "Speak Now or Ever After ... Regret Your Silence".

"Any remedy seeking to prevent an extension of Microsoft's monopoly must place Microsoft products as extra-cost

options in the purchase of new computers, so that the user who does not wish to purchase them is not forced to do

so. This means that for the price differential between a new computer with Microsoft software and one without, a

computer seller must offer the software without the computer (which would prevent computer makers from saying that

the difference in price is only a few dollars). Only then could competition come to exist in a meaningful way.

The specifications of Microsoft's present and future document file formats must be made public, so that documents

created in Microsoft applications may be read by programs from other makers, on Microsoft's or other operating

systems. This is in addition to opening the Windows application program interface (API, the set of "hooks" that allow

other parties to write applications for Windows operating systems), which is already part of the proposed settlement.

Any Microsoft networking protocols must be published in full and approved by an independent network protocol body.

This would prevent Microsoft from seizing de facto control of the Internet.

I then point out that if the national interest is at issue, as I believe it is and as the judge has suggested it is, it is crucial

that Microsoft's operating system monopoly not be extended, and in this I quote the study released a year ago by the

highly respected Center for Strategic and International Studies, which pointed out that the use of Microsoft software

actually poses a national security risk. In closing, I say that all

are surely in agreement that the resolution of this case is of great importance, not just now but for many years to come. This suggests a careful and deliberate penalty is far more important to the health of the nation than is a hasty one."